

# QUANTITATIVE QUANTUM ERGODICITY AND THE NODAL DOMAINS OF MAASS-HECKE CUSP FORMS

JUNEHYUK JUNG

**ABSTRACT.** We prove a quantitative statement of the quantum ergodicity for Maass-Hecke cusp forms on  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ . As an application of our result, we obtain a sharp lower bound for the  $L^2$ -norm of the restriction of even Maass-Hecke cusp form  $f$ 's to any fixed compact geodesic segment in  $\{iy \mid y > 0\} \subset \mathbb{H}$ , with a possible exceptional set which is polynomially smaller in the size than the set of all  $f$ . We then deduce that the number of nodal domains of  $f$  which intersect a fixed geodesic segment increases with the eigenvalue, with a small number of exceptional  $f$ 's. In the recent work of Ghosh, Reznikov, and Sarnak, they prove the same statement for all  $f$  without exception, assuming the Lindelof Hypothesis and that the geodesic segment is long enough.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{X} = SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$  and let  $\phi$  be an  $L^2$ -normalized Maass-Hecke cusp form on the modular surface  $\mathbb{X}$ . In other words,  $\phi$  is a function on  $\mathbb{H}$  such that:

1.  $\int_{\mathbb{X}} |\phi(z)|^2 dA(z) = 1$ ,
2.  $\phi(\gamma z) = \phi(z)$  for all  $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,
3.  $-\Delta_{\mathbb{H}} \phi = (\frac{1}{4} + t_{\phi}^2) \phi$ , and
4.  $T_n \phi = \lambda_{\phi}(n) \phi$  for some  $\lambda_{\phi}(n)$  for all  $n > 0$ , where  $T_n$  is the normalized  $n$ -th Hecke operator:

$$T_n f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\substack{b \ (d) \\ ad=n}} f\left(\frac{az+b}{d}\right).$$

Such  $\phi$  has a Fourier expansion of the type

$$\phi(z) = \sqrt{\cosh(\pi t_{\phi})} \sum_{n \neq 0} \rho_{\phi}(n) \sqrt{y} K_{it_{\phi}}(2\pi|n|y) e(nx),$$

and the coefficients satisfy  $\rho_{\phi}(\pm n) = \rho_{\phi}(\pm 1) \lambda_{\phi}(n)$  for  $n > 0$ , where

$$t_{\phi}^{-\epsilon} \ll_{\epsilon} |\rho_{\phi}(1)| \ll_{\epsilon} t_{\phi}^{\epsilon} \quad (1.1)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Here and elsewhere,  $A \ll_{\omega} B$  means  $|A| < CB$  for some constant  $C$  depending only on  $\omega$ .

for any  $\epsilon > 0$  (see [Iwa90] and [HL94]). The Hecke eigenvalues  $\lambda_\phi(n)$  satisfy the following recurrence relation:

$$\lambda_\phi(nm) = \sum_{d|(n,m)} \lambda_\phi\left(\frac{nm}{d^2}\right) \quad (1.2)$$

and it is the main arithmetic input in our work.

If we assume further that

5.  $\phi$  is an eigenfunction of  $\sigma$ , where  $\sigma : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  is an orientation reversing isometry induced from  $x + iy \mapsto -x + iy$  on  $\mathbb{H}$ ,

then such  $\phi$ 's form an orthonormal basis of the cuspidal subspace  $L_{cusp}^2(\mathbb{X})$  of  $L^2(\mathbb{X})$ . We say  $\phi$  is *even* (resp. *odd*) if  $\sigma\phi = \phi$  (resp.  $\sigma\phi = -\phi$ ).

Now we define the measure  $\mu_\phi$  on  $\mathbb{X}$  by

$$\mu_\phi = |\phi(z)|^2 \frac{dx dy}{y^2}.$$

Then the Arithmetic Quantum Unique Ergodicity (QUE) Theorem of Lindenstrauss [Lin06] and Soundararajan [Sou10] asserts that

$$\mu_\phi \xrightarrow{w} dA(z) \quad (1.3)$$

as  $t_\phi \rightarrow \infty$ .

In terms of the Fourier coefficients  $\rho_\phi(n)$  of  $\phi$ , it is known that

$$\frac{1}{t_\phi} \sum_n \rho_\phi(n+m) \rho_\phi(n) \psi\left(\frac{\pi|n|}{t_\phi}\right) \rightarrow \frac{8}{\pi} \delta_{0,m} \int_0^\infty \psi(y) dy \quad (1.4)$$

for any  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$  implies the arithmetic QUE theorem, whereas the converse is only known for a certain class of  $\psi$  (see Appendix A in [GRS12]).

Both forms (1.3) and (1.4) of QUE can be quantified with rates, which is called the Quantitative QUE (QQUE). We state the strong form as follows:

**Conjecture 1.1** ((Strong) QQUE). *There exist  $\nu > 0$  and  $k < \infty$  such that for any  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$ ,*

$$\left| \frac{1}{t_\phi} \sum_n \rho_\phi(n+m) \rho_\phi(n) \psi\left(\frac{\pi|n|}{t_\phi}\right) - \frac{8}{\pi} \delta_{0,m} \int_0^\infty \psi(y) dy \right| \ll_m t_\phi^{-\nu} \|\psi\|_{W^{k,\infty}(0,\infty)}. \quad (1.5)$$

Note that subconvexity estimate for the triple product  $L$ -function  $L(s, \phi \times \phi \times \phi_0)$  with any fixed Maass form  $\phi_0$  is equivalent to the QQUE, if the implied constant depends polynomially on the derivatives of  $\phi_0$  ([Wat02]). Also note that the Lindelof Hypothesis for the triple product  $L$ -function allows one to take any  $0 < \nu < 1/2$  for a certain class of  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$ .

We investigate an average version (1.5) and prove:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $1/3 < \theta < 1$  be a fixed constant and let  $G = T^\theta$ . Assume that  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$  is supported in  $(0, l) \subset (0, \infty)$ .*

(i) Let  $0 < \delta < 1$  be a fixed constant. Then there exists  $A > 0$  depending only on  $\theta$  and  $\epsilon$  such that

$$\sum_{|t_\phi - T| < G} \left| \sum_n \rho_\phi(n+m) \rho_\phi(n) \psi\left(\frac{\pi n}{X}\right) \right|^2 \ll_{\epsilon, l} m X G T^{1+\epsilon} \|\psi\|_{W^{A, \infty}}^2 \quad (1.6)$$

holds uniformly in  $1 \leq m < X^{1-\delta}$ . One can take, for example,  $A = 100/\min\{3\theta - 1, \epsilon\}$ .

(ii) There exists  $A > 0$  depending only on  $\theta$  and  $\epsilon$  such that

$$\sum_{|t_\phi - T| < G} \left| \sum_{n \neq 0} \rho_\phi(n)^2 \psi\left(\frac{\pi |n|}{X}\right) - \frac{8X}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \psi(y) dy \right|^2 \ll_{\epsilon, l} X G T^{1+\epsilon} \|\psi\|_{W^{A, \infty}}^2. \quad (1.7)$$

Such short average of the quantitative quantum ergodicity for holomorphic Hecke eigenforms is first studied in [LS03], and Theorem 1.1 is the generalization to Maass-Hecke eigenforms.

According to Weyl's law, there are asymptotically  $\sim \frac{1}{12}T$  Maass-Hecke cusp forms in  $\{\phi \mid T < t_\phi < T+1\}$ .<sup>2</sup> Hence Theorem 1.1 implies that

$$\left| \frac{1}{t_\phi} \sum_n \rho_\phi(n+m) \rho_\phi(n) \psi\left(\frac{\pi |n|}{t_\phi}\right) - \frac{8}{\pi} \delta_{0,m} \int_0^\infty \psi(y) dy \right| \ll_\epsilon t_\phi^{-1/2+\epsilon}$$

holds on average for any fixed  $m \geq 0$  and  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$ . As noted above, this implies that Lindelof Hypothesis holds for the triple product  $L$ -functions on this shorter range compared to with longer range established in [LS95].

In a quantitative form, we have the best result towards QQE conjecture:

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$  and let  $\delta$  and  $\nu$  be fixed positive constants. All but  $O_\epsilon(T^{1/3+\delta+2\nu+\epsilon})$  forms in  $\{\phi \mid T < t_\phi < T+1\}$  satisfy*

$$\left| \frac{1}{t_\phi} \sum_n \rho_\phi(n+m) \rho_\phi(n) \psi\left(\frac{\pi |n|}{t_\phi}\right) - \frac{8}{\pi} \delta_{0,m} \int_0^\infty \psi(y) dy \right| < t_\phi^{-\nu} \|\psi\|_{W^{A, \infty}}$$

uniformly in  $0 \leq m < T^\delta$ . Here  $A > 0$  is a sufficiently large constant depending only on  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Now let  $Z_\phi$  be the zero set of  $\phi$ , which in turn is a finite union of real analytic curves. For any subset  $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ , let  $N^\mathbb{K}(\phi)$  be the number of connected components (the nodal domains) in  $\mathbb{X} \setminus Z_\phi$  which meets  $\mathbb{K}$  and let  $N(\phi) = N^\mathbb{X}(\phi)$ . Then the Bogomolny-Schmit Conjecture states that

$$N(\phi) \sim \frac{1}{12\pi} (3\sqrt{3} - 5) \lambda_\phi. \quad (1.8)$$

Note that it is not true for a general Riemannian surfaces that the number of nodal domains of an eigenfunction must increase with the eigenvalue. In

---

<sup>2</sup> One can show using the Selberg's trace formula that asymptotically half of the forms within the set are even.

[GRS12], the authors study nodal domains crossing  $\delta = \{iy \mid y > 0\}$  and prove

$$t_\phi \ll N^\delta(\phi) \ll t_\phi \log t_\phi \quad (1.9)$$

for an even Maass-Hecke cusp form  $\phi$ . Assuming the conjecture (1.8), this estimate in particular implies that almost all nodal domains do not touch  $\delta$ !<sup>3</sup>

Note that most of the nodal domains they capture in (1.9) are in the region near the cusp determined by  $y > t_\phi/100$ . So far no unconditional lower bound for the number of nodal domains crossing a fixed compact geodesic segment is known. However one can find such a lower bound assuming the Lindelof Hypothesis:

**Theorem 1.3** ([GRS12]). *Let  $\beta \subset \delta$  be a fixed compact geodesic segment which is sufficiently long. Assume the Lindelof Hypothesis for the  $L$ -functions  $L(s, \phi)$ . Then*

$$N^\beta(\phi) \gg_\epsilon t_\phi^{\frac{1}{12}-\epsilon}.$$

The assumption of  $\beta$  being sufficiently long is necessary in order to deduce the lower bound for  $L^2$  norm for the restriction to  $\beta$

$$\int_\beta |\phi(z)|^2 ds \gg_\beta 1$$

from the QUE theorem. The QQE conjecture implies the same estimate for any fixed compact geodesic segment  $\beta \subset \delta$  ([GRS12]), and therefore as an application of Corollary 1.2, we get:

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $\beta \subset \delta$  be any fixed compact geodesic segment. Then*

$$\int_\beta |\phi(z)|^2 ds \gg_\beta 1$$

for all but  $O_\epsilon(T^{1/3+\epsilon})$  forms within the set of even Maass-Hecke cusp forms in  $\{\phi \mid T < t_\phi < T+1\}$ .

Such a lower bound for restriction is first proved in [HZ04]. In particular, the authors show that

**Theorem 1.5** ([HZ04]). *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded piecewise smooth manifold with ergodic billiard map. Let  $\{u_j\}$  be a sequence of interior eigenfunctions such that*

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u_j &= \lambda_j^2 u_j \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \langle u_j, u_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \delta_{jk} \\ \partial_\nu u_j &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \\ 0 &\leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>3</sup>In [GRS12], such nodal domains are called “split.”

Then there exist a positive constant  $c$  and a density one subset  $S$  of positive integers such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty, j \in S} \int_{\partial\Omega} f |u_j|^2 d\sigma = c \int_{\partial\Omega} f d\sigma$$

for any smooth function  $f$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .

Observe that an even Maass-Hecke cusp form  $\phi$  is an eigenfunction which satisfies the Neumann boundary condition on the domain

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{H} \mid |z| > 1, 0 < \operatorname{Re}(z) < 1/2\}.$$

Although this domain is non-compact, one can expect from Theorem 1.5 that there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that

$$\int_{\beta} |\phi(z)|^2 ds \rightarrow c \int_{\beta} ds$$

for any  $\beta \subset \delta$  along a density one subset of even Maass-Hecke cusp forms. Corollary 1.4 hints the existence of such  $c$  with a possible exceptional set which is polynomially smaller in the size than the set of all even Maass-Hecke cusp forms.

Now we give lower bounds for  $N^{\beta}(\phi)$  for almost all  $\phi$ 's without the assumptions in Theorem 1.3:

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $\beta \subset \delta$  be any fixed compact geodesic segment. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then within the set of even Maass-Hecke cusp forms in  $\{\phi \mid T < t_{\phi} < T+1\}$ ,*

- (i) *all but  $O_{\epsilon}(T^{\frac{5}{6}+\epsilon})$  forms satisfy  $N^{\beta}(\phi) > t_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{4}\epsilon}$ , and*
- (ii) *almost all forms satisfy  $N^{\beta}(\phi) > t_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{12}-\epsilon}$ .*

## 2. QUANTITATIVE QUANTUM ERGODICITY ON AVERAGE

We first prove the first case  $m \geq 1$  of Theorem 1.1 assuming that  $l$  is fixed, for simplicity.

Let  $h(y) = e^{-y^2}$  and let  $h_{T,G}(y) = h((y-T)/G) + h(-(y+T)/G)$ . By (1.1), the sum (1.6) is

$$\ll t_{\phi}^{\epsilon} \sum_{\phi} \frac{h_{T,G}(t_{\phi})}{\rho_{\phi}(1)^2} \left| \sum_n \rho_{\phi}(n+m) \rho_{\phi}(n) \psi\left(\frac{\pi n}{X}\right) \right|^2.$$

From the Hecke relation (1.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\phi} \frac{h_{T,G}(t_{\phi})}{\rho_{\phi}(1)^2} \left| \sum_n \rho_{\phi}(n+m) \rho_{\phi}(n) \psi\left(\frac{\pi n}{X}\right) \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_f h_{T,G}(t_{\phi}) \left| \sum_{d|m} \sum_n \rho_f(n(n+d)) \psi\left(\frac{\pi mn}{dX}\right) \right|^2 \\
&\leq \tau(m) \sum_{d|m} \sum_f h_{T,G}(t_{\phi}) \left| \sum_n \rho_f(n(n+d)) \psi\left(\frac{\pi mn}{dX}\right) \right|^2
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau$  is the divisor function. Now expanding the square and then applying the Kuznetsov trace formula, we obtain an identity of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau(m) \sum_{d|m} \sum_f h_{T,G}(t_{\phi}) \left| \sum_n \rho_f(n(n+d)) \psi\left(\frac{\pi mn}{dX}\right) \right|^2 + \{\text{continuous}\} \\
&= \frac{\tau(m)}{\pi^2} \sum_{d|m} \sum_{r_1, r_2} \delta_{r_1(r_1+d), r_2(r_2+d)} \psi\left(\frac{\pi m r_1}{dX}\right) \psi\left(\frac{\pi m r_2}{dX}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tanh(\pi y) h_{T,G}(y) y dy \\
&+ \frac{2i\tau(m)}{\pi} \sum_{d|m} \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r_1, r_2} \frac{S(r_1(r_1+d), r_2(r_2+d), c)}{c} \psi\left(\frac{\pi m r_1}{dX}\right) \psi\left(\frac{\pi m r_2}{dX}\right) \\
&\quad \times g\left(\frac{4\pi}{c} \sqrt{r_1(r_1+d)r_2(r_2+d)}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_{2iy}(x) \frac{h_{T,G}(y)y}{\cosh \pi y} dy.$$

Note that the contribution coming from the continuous spectrum is non-negative, and the diagonal contribution (the second line) is  $O(XGT^{1+\epsilon})$ . For the non-diagonal contribution (the sum involving Kloosterman sums,) we prove the following:

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\psi \in C_0^{\infty}(0, \infty)$ ,  $1 \ll R \ll T$  and  $0 < d \ll R^{1-\delta}$  for some fixed  $\delta > 0$ . Then there exists  $A > 0$  depending only on  $\theta$  and  $\epsilon$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{c \geq 1} \sum_{r_1, r_2} \psi\left(\frac{r_1}{R}\right) \psi\left(\frac{r_2}{R}\right) \frac{S(r_1(r_1+d), r_2(r_2+d), c)}{c} g\left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{r_1 r_2 (r_1+d)(r_2+d)}}{c}\right) \\
& \ll_{\epsilon} d G R T^{1+\epsilon} \|\psi\|_{W^{A, \infty}}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

**2.1. Bessel transform.** To estimate the non-diagonal contribution, we first analyze

$$g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_{2iy}(x) \frac{h_{T,G}(y)y}{\cosh \pi y} dy.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $A > 0$ ,*

$$g(x) \ll_{\epsilon, A} T^{-A}$$

*holds uniformly in  $0 < x < GT^{1-\epsilon}$ .*

*Proof.* From the identity

$$\left( \frac{J_{2iu}(x) - J_{-2iu}(x)}{\sinh \pi u} \right)^\wedge(y) = -i \cos(x \cosh(\pi y))$$

and using the Plancherel theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{J_{2iy}(x) - J_{-2iy}(x)}{\sinh \pi y} h_{T,G}(y) y \tanh \pi y dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{J_{2iy}(x) - J_{-2iy}(x)}{\sinh \pi y} h_{T,G}(y) |y| dy + O_A(T^{-A}) \\ &= -i \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos(x \cosh(\pi y)) (h_{T,G}(u) |u|)^\wedge(y) dy + O_A(T^{-A}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &(h(u)_{T,G} |u|)^\wedge(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( h\left(\frac{u-T}{G}\right) + h\left(-\frac{u+T}{G}\right) \right) |u| e(yu) du \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} h\left(\frac{u-T}{G}\right) u e(yu) du - \int_{\mathbb{R}} h\left(-\frac{u+T}{G}\right) u e(yu) du + O_A(T^{-A}(1+y^2)^{-1}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} h\left(\frac{u-T}{G}\right) u e(yu) du + \int_{\mathbb{R}} h\left(\frac{u-T}{G}\right) u e(-yu) du + O_A(T^{-A}(1+y^2)^{-1}) \\ &= Ge(Ty) (h(u)(Gu+T))^\wedge(Gy) + Ge(-Ty) (h(u)(Gu+T))^\wedge(-Gy) \\ &\quad + O_A(T^{-A}(1+y^2)^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$g(x) = -i \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi i T y}{G} + ix \cosh\left(\frac{\pi y}{G}\right)\right) (h(u)(Gu+T))^\wedge(y) dy + O_A(T^{-A}).$$

Because  $(h(u)(Gu+T))^\wedge(y)$  is rapidly decaying, we can (smoothly) truncate the range of  $y$  to  $-T^{\epsilon/2} < y < T^{\epsilon/2}$ . In such range, since  $x < GT^{1-\epsilon}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{2\pi T y}{G} + x \cosh\left(\frac{\pi y}{G}\right) \right) &= \frac{2\pi T}{G} + x \frac{\pi}{G} \sinh\left(\frac{\pi y}{G}\right) \\ &> \frac{2\pi T}{G} - \frac{\pi^2 T^{1-\epsilon/2}}{G} \\ &> \frac{T}{G} \end{aligned}$$

for all sufficiently large  $T$  and

$$\frac{\partial^k}{\partial y^k} \left( \frac{2\pi T y}{G} + x \cosh\left(\frac{\pi y}{G}\right) \right) \ll T^{1-\epsilon/2} G^{-k-\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} \quad (k \geq 2)$$

Therefore successive integration by parts yields:

$$g(x) \ll_A G \max\left\{\frac{G}{T}, \frac{1}{G}\right\}^A.$$

□

Now let

$$\tilde{g}(x) = \int_0^\infty J_{2iy}(x) \frac{h_{T,G}(y)y}{\cosh \pi y} dy.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Assume that  $GT^{1-\epsilon} < x$  with  $0 < \epsilon < \theta/2$ . For any  $A > 0$ , there exists  $N > 0$  such that,  $\tilde{g}(x)$  is a linear sum of*

$$\int_0^\infty g_{k,N}(y, x) y h_{T,G}(y) dy \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, N)$$

plus  $O(T^{-A})$ , where

$$g_{k,N}(y, x) = (4y^2 + x^2)^{-k/2-1/4} \exp(ix + i \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} c_m \frac{y^{2m}}{x^{2m-1}}).$$

*Proof.* For  $x, y > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{2iy}(x) &= b(4y^2 + x^2)^{-1/4} \exp\left(i\sqrt{4y^2 + x^2} - 2iy \sinh^{-1}(2y/x)\right) \\ &\quad \times \cosh(\pi y) \left(\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} b_m (4y^2 + x^2)^{-m/2} + O(x^{-N})\right), \end{aligned}$$

with some explicit constants  $b, b_1, b_2, \dots$ . Assuming  $y \sim T$  and  $x > GT^{1-\epsilon} > T^{1+\frac{\theta}{2}}$ , we may expand the exponent to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp\left(ix\sqrt{1 + 4y^2/x^2} - 2iy \sinh^{-1}(2y/x)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(ix + i \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} c_m \frac{y^{2m}}{x^{2m-1}}\right) + O(y^{2N} x^{-2N+1}). \end{aligned}$$

with some explicit constants  $c_m$  (here  $c_1 = -2 \neq 0$ ). □

**Lemma 2.4.** *For  $0 < x < 1$ ,*

$$g(x) \ll Gx^2.$$

*Proof.* From

$$J_{2iy+2}(x) = (x/2)^{2iy+2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{(x/2)^{2k}}{k! \Gamma(k+2+2iy)}$$

and the Sterling's formula, we get

$$J_{2iy+2}(x) \ll x^2(|y| + 1)^{-3/2} \cosh \pi y.$$

Therefore by shifting the contour,

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_{2iy+2}(x) \frac{(y-i)h_{T,G}(y-i)}{\cosh \pi y} dy \\ &\ll x^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h_{T,G}(y-i)| dy \\ &\ll Gx^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark: In Lemma 2.2 and 2.3, one only needs the fact that  $h(y)$  is a rapidly decreasing function, but for Lemma 2.4, analyticity is required.

**2.2. Reduction.** By Lemma 2.4 and the Weil's bound

$$|S(n, m, c)| \leq (n, m, c)^{1/2} c^{1/2} \tau(c),$$

we can assume that the sum in (2.1) is taken over  $c \ll T^A$  for some large  $A > 0$ . If  $R^2 \ll GT^{1-\epsilon}$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , then by Lemma 2.2, we have Lemma 2.1. Hence we may assume  $GT^{1-\epsilon_1} \ll R^2$  with fixed  $\epsilon_1$  such that

$$\min\left\{\frac{\theta}{2}, \frac{3\theta-1}{2}\right\} > \epsilon_1 > 0$$

and that the sum is taken over  $c \ll R^2 G^{-1} T^{-1+\epsilon_1}$ .

Observe that  $g(x)$  is the imaginary part of  $\tilde{g}(x)$ ,  $\psi$  is real, and the Kloosterman sums are real. Therefore we may replace  $g(x)$  with  $\tilde{g}(x)$  in the sum.

Now applying Lemma 2.3, it is sufficient to prove that there exists  $A > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c \ll R^2 G^{-1} T^{-1+\epsilon_1}} \sum_{r_1, r_2} \psi\left(\frac{r_1}{R}\right) \psi\left(\frac{r_2}{R}\right) \frac{S(r_1(r_1+d), r_2(r_2+d), c)}{c} \\ \times g_{k,N}\left(y, \frac{4\pi \sqrt{r_1 r_2 (r_1+d)(r_2+d)}}{c}\right) \ll_{\epsilon} d R^{1+\epsilon} \|\psi\|_{W^{A,\infty}}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for fixed  $k \geq 0$  and  $y \sim T$ . Note that for  $X \ll R^{1-\epsilon}$ ,  $|g_{k,N}(y, x)| \ll x^{-1/2}$  and the Weil's bound yield

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c \ll X} \sum_{r_1, r_2} \left| \psi\left(\frac{r_1}{R}\right) \psi\left(\frac{r_2}{R}\right) \right| \frac{|S(r_1(r_1+d), r_2(r_2+d), c)|}{c} \\ \times \left| g_{k,N}\left(y, \frac{4\pi \sqrt{r_1 r_2 (r_1+d)(r_2+d)}}{c}\right) \right| \ll_{\epsilon} X R^{1+\epsilon} \|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is worse than  $R^{1+\epsilon}$  in  $R$  aspect unless  $X \ll R^{\epsilon}$ . Because  $R^2 G^{-1} T^{-1+\epsilon_1}$  can get as large as  $T^{1+\epsilon_1-\theta}$ , we have to capture the cancellation coming from the sign changes in the summation to get a right bound. In this article, we investigate cancellation coming from the sum over  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , as in [LS03].

To this end, firstly observe that, for  $y \sim T$  and  $x \gg T^{1+\epsilon}$ , the oscillation of  $g_{k,N}(y, x)$  is dictated by  $e^{ix}$ . In other words, we have

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m} (e^{-ix} g_{k,N}(y, x)) \ll x^{-\frac{1}{2}} T^{-m\epsilon}.$$

for any  $m > 0$ . Also, the main oscillating factor of

$$\exp\left(\frac{4\pi i \sqrt{r_1 r_2 (r_1 + d)(r_2 + d)}}{c}\right)$$

with respect to  $r_1 \sim R$  and  $r_2 \sim R$  is

$$e_c(2r_1 r_2 + dr_1 + dr_2),$$

where  $e_c(x) = \exp(2\pi i x/c)$ .

From these observations we define  $f_c(r_1, r_2)$  by

$$e_c(2r_1 r_2 + dr_1 + dr_2) f_c(r_1, r_2) = \psi\left(\frac{r_1}{R}\right) \psi\left(\frac{r_2}{R}\right) g_{k,N}\left(y, \frac{4\pi \sqrt{r_1 r_2 (r_1 + d)(r_2 + d)}}{c}\right)$$

and for each  $c$  rearrange the sum modulo  $c$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r_1, r_2} S(r_1(r_1 + d), r_2(r_2 + d), c) e_c(2r_1 r_2 + dr_1 + dr_2) f_c(r_1, r_2) \\ &= \sum_{a, b \pmod{c}} S(a(a + d), b(b + d), c) e_c(2ab + da + db) \sum_{\substack{r_1 \equiv a \pmod{c} \\ r_2 \equiv b \pmod{c}}} f_c(r_1, r_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{c^2} \sum_{u \pmod{c}} \sum_{v \pmod{c}} \left( \sum_{a, b \pmod{c}} S(a(a + d), b(b + d), c) e_c(2ab + (d + u)a + (d + v)b) \right) \\ & \quad \times \sum_{r_1, r_2} f_c(r_1, r_2) e_c(-ur_1 - vr_2). \end{aligned}$$

We assume here that  $|u|, |v| \leq \frac{c}{2}$ . Note that as we expect  $f_c(r_1, r_2)$  is mildly oscillating, the sum

$$\sum_{r_1, r_2} f_c(r_1, r_2) e_c(-ur_1 - vr_2)$$

is going to be negligible unless both  $u$  and  $v$  are relatively smaller than  $c$ , which will be determined by the oscillation of  $f_c(r_1, r_2)$ . We quantify this and then estimate the sum via Poisson summation formula in next two sections.

For the rest two sections, we give an estimation of

$$\sum_{a, b \pmod{c}} S(a(a + d), b(b + d), c) e_c(2ab + (d + u)a + (d + v)b)$$

from [LS03] and prove Lemma 2.1.

**2.3. Estimating  $f_c(r_1, r_2)$ .** Recall that  $d \ll R^{1-\delta}$ ,  $y \sim T$ ,  $GT^{1-\epsilon_1} \ll R^2$ , and  $c \ll R^2 G^{-1} T^{-1+\epsilon_1}$ . For this and the next section, we further assume that  $dR^{\epsilon_2} \ll c$  for some  $\epsilon_2 > 0$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(r_1, r_2) &= \sqrt{r_1 r_2 (r_1 + d)(r_2 + d)} \\ \alpha(x) &= \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} c_m \frac{y^{2m}}{x^{2m-1}} \\ \varphi(r_1, r_2) &= \alpha\left(\frac{4\pi\Delta}{c}\right) + \frac{4\pi}{c} \left(\Delta - r_1 r_2 - \frac{dr_1}{2} - \frac{dr_2}{2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$g_c(r_1, r_2) = \psi\left(\frac{r_1}{R}\right) \psi\left(\frac{r_2}{R}\right) \left(4y^2 + \frac{16\pi^2 r_1 r_2 (r_1 + d)(r_2 + d)}{c^2}\right)^{-k/2-1/4}$$

Then

$$f_c(r_1, r_2) = g_c(r_1, r_2) \exp(i\varphi(r_1, r_2)).$$

Firstly,

$$\frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2} g_c}{\partial r_1^{k_1} \partial r_2^{k_2}} \ll c^{1/2} R^{-1-k_1-k_2} \|\psi\|_{W^{k_1+k_2, \infty}}^2. \quad (2.3)$$

For  $r_1 \sim r_2 \sim R$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= r_1 r_2 + O(dR) \\ \Delta_{r_i} &= r_{3-i} + O(d) \\ \Delta_{r_i r_i} &= O(d^2 R^{-2}) \\ \Delta_{r_1 r_2} &= 1 + O(d^2 R^{-2}) \\ \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2} \Delta}{\partial r_1^{k_1} \partial r_2^{k_2}} &= O(d^2 R^{-k_1-k_2}) \quad (k_1 + k_2 \geq 3) \\ \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2}}{\partial r_1^{k_1} \partial r_2^{k_2}} \left(\Delta - r_1 r_2 - \frac{dr_1}{2} - \frac{dr_2}{2}\right) &= O(d^2 R^{-k_1-k_2}) \quad (k_1 + k_2 \geq 1)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_x &= -c_1 \frac{y^2}{x^2} + O(T^4 x^{-4}) \\ \alpha_{xx} &= 2c_1 \frac{y^2}{x^3} + O(T^4 x^{-5}) \\ \frac{\partial^k \alpha}{\partial x^k} &= O(T^2 x^{-1-k}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2} \varphi}{\partial r_1^{k_1} \partial r_2^{k_2}} \ll c T^2 R^{-2-k_1-k_2} \quad (2.4)$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2} f_c}{\partial r_1^{k_1} \partial r_2^{k_2}} \ll \frac{c^{1/2}}{R} \left(\frac{c T^2}{R^3}\right)^{k_1+k_2} \|\psi\|_{W^{k_1+k_2, \infty}}^2. \quad (2.5)$$

Note that

$$\frac{cT^2}{R^3} \ll \frac{T^{1+\epsilon_1}}{GR} \ll \left( \frac{T^{1+3\epsilon_1}}{G^3} \right)^{1/2} \ll T^{(1+3\epsilon_1-3\theta)/2} \ll T^{-(3\theta-1)/4}.$$

From  $c \gg dR^{\epsilon_2}$ , we also get

$$\varphi_{r_1} \sim \varphi_{r_2} \sim \frac{cT^2}{R^3} \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{r_i r_j} &= \frac{16\pi^2 \alpha_{xx}(4\pi\Delta/c)}{c^2} \Delta_{r_i} \Delta_{r_j} + \frac{4\pi\alpha_x(4\pi\Delta/c)}{c} \Delta_{r_i r_j} + O\left(\frac{d^2}{cR^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{8\pi^2 \alpha_{xx}(4\pi\Delta/c)}{c^2} (2\Delta_{r_i} \Delta_{r_j} - \Delta \Delta_{r_i r_j}) + O\left(\frac{\Delta_{r_i r_j} T^4 c^3}{R^8} + \frac{c}{R^{2+2\epsilon_2}}\right) \\ &= \frac{8\pi^2 \alpha_{xx}(4\pi\Delta/c)}{c^2} ((2 - \delta_{i,j}) r_{3-i} r_{3-j} + O(dR)) + O\left(\frac{T^4 c^3}{R^8} + \frac{c}{R^{2+2\epsilon_2}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\varphi_{r_i r_j} \sim \frac{cT^2}{R^4} \quad \text{and} \quad |\varphi_{r_1 r_1} \varphi_{r_2 r_2} - \varphi_{r_1 r_2}^2| \gg \frac{c^2 T^4}{R^8}. \quad (2.7)$$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $f(x_1, x_2)$  be a real and algebraic function defined in a rectangle  $D = [a, b] \times [c, d] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Assume throughout  $D$  that*

$$|f_{x_i x_i}| \sim \lambda \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \quad |f_{x_1 x_2}| \ll \lambda, \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{\partial(f_{x_1}, f_{x_2})}{\partial(x_1, x_2)} \right| \gg \lambda^2;$$

then

$$\iint_D e^{if(x_1, x_2)} dx_1 dx_2 \ll \frac{1 + |\log(b-a)| + |\log(d-c)| + |\log \lambda|}{\lambda}.$$

**2.4. Poisson summation.** Applying the Poisson summation formula for the sum in  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , we get

$$\sum_{r_1, r_2} f_c(r_1, r_2) e_c(-ur_1 - vr_2) = \sum_{j, k} B(j, k)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B(j, k) &= \iint f_c(r_1, r_2) e_c(-ur_1 - vr_2) e(jr_1 + kr_2) dr_1 dr_2 \\ &= \iint f_c(r_1, r_2) e\left((j - \frac{u}{c})r_1 + (k - \frac{v}{c})r_2\right) dr_1 dr_2. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.5), integrating by parts shows that

$$B(j, k) = O\left(c^{1/2} R(\max\{|j|, |k|\} - \frac{1}{2})^{-A} \left(T^{(3\theta-1)/4}\right)^{-A} \|\psi\|_{W^{A, \infty}}^2\right)$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{j, k} B(j, k) = B(0, 0) + O\left(c^{1/2} R \left(T^{(3\theta-1)/5}\right)^{-A} \|\psi\|_{W^{A, \infty}}^2\right)$$

for any  $A > 0$ . Now for  $B(0, 0)$ , we apply integration by parts to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint f_c(r_1, r_2) e_c(-ur_1 - vr_2) dr_1 dr_2 \\ & \ll \left| \iint_D e^{i\varphi(r_1, r_2)} e_c(-ur_1 - vr_2) dr_1 dr_2 \right| \iint |g_c(r_1, r_2)_{r_1 r_2}| dr_1 dr_2 \\ & \ll \|\psi\|_{W^{1, \infty}}^2 \frac{c^{1/2}}{R} \left| \iint_D e^{i\varphi(r_1, r_2)} e_c(-ur_1 - vr_2) dr_1 dr_2 \right| \end{aligned}$$

where we can assume  $D$  is a rectangle such that  $(r_1, r_2) \in D$  implies  $r_1 \sim R$  and  $r_2 \sim R$ .

Observe that by (2.6),

$$\iint_D e^{i\varphi(r_1, r_2)} e_c(-ur_1 - vr_2) dr_1 dr_2$$

has a stationary phase only when

$$u \sim v \sim \frac{c^2 T^2}{R^3} \quad (2.8)$$

is satisfied.

If any of  $u$  or  $v$  does not satisfy this, then from (2.3), (2.4), and (2.6), integrating by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} \iint g_c(r_1, r_2) e^{i\varphi(r_1, r_2) - \frac{2\pi i}{c}(ur_1 + vr_2)} dr_1 dr_2 & \ll c^{\frac{1}{2}} R \left( \frac{R^2}{cT^2} \right)^A \|\psi\|_{W^{A, \infty}}^2 \\ & \ll c^{\frac{1}{2}} R T^{-\epsilon_2 A} \|\psi\|_{W^{A, \infty}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $A > 0$ , from the assumption  $c \gg dT^{\epsilon_2}$ .

Now for  $u$  and  $v$  which satisfy (2.8), we use (2.7) and Lemma 2.5 to get

$$\iint_D e^{i\varphi(r_1, r_2)} e_c(-ur_1 - vr_2) dr_1 dr_2 \ll \frac{R^4}{cT^2} \log R$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{r_1, r_2} f_c(r_1, r_2) e_c(-ur_1 - vr_2) \ll \|\psi\|_{W^{1, \infty}}^2 \frac{R^3}{c^{1/2} T^2} \log R. \quad (2.9)$$

**2.5. Kloosterman sums.** In this section we give a bound of

$$\sum_{a, b \pmod{c}} S(a(a+d), b(b+d), c) e_c(2ab + (d+u)a + (d+v)b)$$

that is given in [LS03]. For fixed  $d, u, v$ , and integer  $\gamma$ , let

$$S_c(\gamma) = \sum_{a, b \pmod{c}} S(a(\gamma a + d), b(\gamma b + d), c) e_c(2\gamma ab + (d+u)a + (d+v)b).$$

Then for  $(c_1, c_2) = 1$ , we have  $S_{c_1 c_2}(\gamma) = S_{c_1}(\gamma c_2) S_{c_2}(\gamma c_1)$ .

For  $(c, 2\gamma) = 1$ , note that

$$\begin{aligned} & a(\gamma a + d)x + 2\gamma ab + (d + u)a \\ & \equiv \gamma x (a^2 + 2(\bar{x}b + \bar{2}(d + u)\bar{x}\bar{\gamma} + \bar{2}d\bar{\gamma})a) \pmod{c} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & -\gamma x(\bar{x}b + \bar{2}(d + u)\bar{x}\bar{\gamma} + \bar{2}d\bar{\gamma})^2 + b(\gamma b + d)\bar{x} + (d + v)b \\ & \equiv (v - \bar{x}u)b - \bar{4}\gamma x((d + u)\bar{x} + d)^2. \pmod{c} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} S_c(\gamma) &= \sum_{\substack{x \pmod{c} \\ (x, c) = 1}} \sum_{a, b \pmod{c}} e_c(a(\gamma a + d)x + b(\gamma b + d)\bar{x}) \\ &\quad \times e_c(2\gamma ab + (d + u)a + (d + v)b) \\ &= \sum_{a \pmod{c}} e_c(\gamma x a^2) \sum_{\substack{x \pmod{c} \\ (x, c) = 1}} e_c(-\bar{4}\gamma x((d + u)\bar{x} + d)^2) \sum_{b \pmod{c}} e_c((v - \bar{x}u)b) \end{aligned}$$

and by the evaluation of the Gauss sum, this is  $\ll c^{3/2}(v, c)$  if  $(v, c) = (u, c)$  and 0 otherwise. Writing  $c = c_1 c_2$  with  $(2, c_1) = 1$  and  $c_2 | 2^\infty$ , we infer that

$$S_c(1) = S_{c_1}(c_2)S_{c_2}(c_1) = \begin{cases} O((v, c_1)c_1^{3/2}c_2^{5/2+\epsilon}) & \text{if } (u, c_1) = (v, c_1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

where we have bounded  $S_{c_2}(c_1)$  by  $c_2^{5/2+\epsilon}$  using the Weil's bound.

**2.6. Proof of the theorem.** Assume first that  $dR^{\epsilon_2} \ll c$ . Then by (2.9) and (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{u \pmod{c}} \sum_{v \pmod{c}} \left( \sum_{a, b \pmod{c}} S(a(a + d), b(b + d), c) e_c(2ab + (d + u)a + (d + v)b) \right) \\ & \quad \times \sum_{r_1, r_2} f_c(r_1, r_2) e_c(-ur_1 - vr_2) \\ & \ll \sum_{\substack{u \sim v \sim \frac{c^2 T^2}{R^3} \\ (u, c) = (v, c)}} (v, c_1) c_1 c_2^{2+\epsilon/2} \frac{R^3}{T^2} \log R \|\psi\|_{W^{A, \infty}}^2 \\ & \ll c_1 c_2^2 T^2 R^{-3} \log R \|\psi\|_{W^{A, \infty}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

provided that, for instance,  $\min\{3\theta - 1, \epsilon_2\}A > 100$ .

Therefore the left hand side of (2.2) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{c < dR^{\epsilon_2}} + \sum_{dR^{\epsilon_2} < c \ll R^2 G^{-1} T^{-1+\epsilon_1}} \\
&\ll_{\epsilon} dR^{1+\epsilon_2+\epsilon} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty}^2 + T^2 R^{-3+\epsilon} \left( \sum_{\substack{c_2 \ll R^2 G^{-1} T^{-1+\epsilon_1} \\ c_2 | 2^\infty}} c_2^3 \sum_{c_1 \ll R^2 G^{-1} T^{-1+\epsilon_1}/c_2} c_1^2 \right) \|\psi\|_{W^{A,\infty}}^2 \\
&\ll_{\epsilon_2} \left( dR^{1+2\epsilon_2} + \frac{R^{3+2\epsilon_2}}{T^2} T^{1+3\epsilon_1-3\theta} \right) \|\psi\|_{W^{A,\infty}}^2 \\
&\ll_{\epsilon_2} dR^{1+2\epsilon_2} \|\psi\|_{W^{A,\infty}}^2
\end{aligned}$$

since  $3\theta - 1 > 3\epsilon_1 > 0$ . This establishes (2.2) which implies Lemma 2.1, hence Theorem 1.1.

**2.7. The case  $m = 0$ .** Let  $G(s)$  be the Mellin transform of  $\psi$ :

$$G(s) = \int_0^\infty \psi(y) y^{s-1} dy.$$

Then from the Mellin inversion transform,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \neq 0} \rho_\phi(n)^2 \psi\left(\frac{\pi|n|}{X}\right) &= \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{(2)} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\rho_\phi(n)^2}{n^s} \left(\frac{X}{\pi}\right)^s G(s) ds \\
&= \frac{\rho_\phi(1)^2}{\pi i} \int_{(2)} \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(2s)} L(s, \text{sym}^2 \phi) \left(\frac{X}{\pi}\right)^s G(s) ds \\
&= \frac{\rho_\phi(1)^2}{\pi i} \int_{(1/2)} \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(2s)} L(s, \text{sym}^2 \phi) \left(\frac{X}{\pi}\right)^s G(s) ds \\
&\quad + \frac{2X}{\pi} \rho_\phi(1)^2 L(1, \text{sym}^2 \phi) \int_0^\infty \psi(y) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Now that  $\rho_\phi(1)^2 L(1, \text{sym}^2 \phi) = 4$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n \neq 0} \rho_\phi(n)^2 \psi\left(\frac{\pi|n|}{X}\right) - \frac{8X}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \psi(y) dy \\
&= \frac{\rho_\phi(1)^2}{\pi i} \int_{(1/2)} \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(2s)} L(s, \text{sym}^2 \phi) \left(\frac{X}{\pi}\right)^s G(s) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the approximate functional equation, we can represent  $L(s, \text{sym}^2 \phi)$  as a smooth sum of  $\lambda_\phi(n^2) n^{-s}$  of length at most  $t_\phi^{1+\epsilon}$ . By smoothly summing over  $\phi$ , and following the proof of the case when  $m \geq 1$ , we conclude the proof of (1.7).

### 3. LOWER BOUND FOR THE NUMBER OF SIGN CHANGES ON A COMPACT GEODESIC SEGMENT

Let

$$M_1(\phi) = \sup_{a < \alpha < \beta < b} \left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \phi(iy) \frac{dy}{y} \right|.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{T < t_{\phi} < T+1} M_1(\phi)^2 \\ & \ll \sum_{T < t_{\phi} < T+1} t_{\phi}^{-1/2} \left( \int_0^{2t_{\phi}} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \phi\right) \right| (1 + |t - t_{\phi}|)^{-1/4} \min\left\{1, \frac{1}{t}\right\} dt \right)^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{T < t_{\phi} < T+1} t_{\phi}^{-1/2} \left( \int_0^{2t_{\phi}} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \phi\right) \right|^2 (1 + |t - t_{\phi}|)^{-1/4} \min\left\{1, \frac{1}{t}\right\} dt \right) \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_0^{2t_{\phi}} (1 + |t - t_{\phi}|)^{-1/4} \min\left\{1, \frac{1}{t}\right\} dt \right) \\ & \ll T^{\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\sum_{T < t_{\phi} < T+1} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \phi\right) \right|^2 \ll (T + t^{2/3})^{1+\epsilon} \quad ([\text{Jut04}])$$

in the last inequality. Therefore among even Maass-Hecke cusp forms in  $\{\phi \mid T < t_{\phi} < T + 1\}$ ,

- (i) almost all forms satisfy  $M_1(\phi) < t_{\phi}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$ , and
- (ii) all but  $O(T^{\frac{5}{6}+\epsilon})$  forms satisfy  $M_1(\phi) < t_{\phi}^{-\frac{5}{12}-\frac{1}{3}\epsilon}$

Note that for any function  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ , denoting the number of sign changes of  $f$  by  $S(f)$ , we have

$$S(f)M_1(f) \geq \|f\|_{L^1} \geq \frac{\|f\|_{L^2}^2}{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}. \quad (3.1)$$

Therefore from Corollary 1.4 and  $\sup |\phi(z)| \ll_{\epsilon} t_{\phi}^{5/12+\epsilon}$  ([IS95]), we get Theorem 1.6, since  $S(\phi) \ll N^{\beta}(\phi)$  (see [GRS12].)

**Remark 3.1.** *From the Hölder's inequality, we have*

$$\|f\|_{L^p}^p \|f\|_{L^1}^{p-2} \geq \|f\|_{L^2}^{2(p-1)}$$

for any  $p > 2$ . If we assume  $L^\infty$  conjecture for Maass forms, then

$$\int_a^b |\phi(iy)|^p dy \ll_{p,\epsilon} t_{\phi}^{\epsilon}.$$

Therefore for a sufficiently long  $\beta$ , a sharp upper bound for  $\|\phi\|_{L^p(\beta)}$  any  $p > 2$  yields  $N^\beta(\phi) \gg_\epsilon t_\phi^{1/2-\epsilon}$  under the Lindelof Hypothesis, or  $N^\beta(\phi) \gg_\epsilon t_\phi^{1/6-\epsilon}$  unconditionally.

## REFERENCES

- [GRS12] A. Ghosh, A. Reznikov, and P. Sarnak. Nodal domains of hecke-maass cusp forms on the modular surface. *arXiv:1207.6625 [math.NT]*, 2012.
- [HL94] J. Hoffstein and P. Lockhart. Coefficients of maass forms and the siegel zero. *Ann. of Math.*, 140:161–181, 1994.
- [HZ04] A. Hassell and S. Zelditch. Quantum ergodicity of boundary values of eigenfunctions. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 248:119–168, 2004.
- [IS95] H. Iwaniec and P. Sarnak.  $L^\infty$  norms of eigenfunctions of arithmetic surfaces. *Annals of Mathematics*, 141:301–320, 1995.
- [Iwa90] H. Iwaniec. Small eigenvalues of laplacian for  $\Gamma_0(N)$ . *Acta Arith.*, 16:65–82, 1990.
- [Jut04] M. Jutila. The spectral mean square of hecke  $L$ -functions on the critical line. *Publications De L’institut Mathématique*, 76:41–55, 2004.
- [Lin06] E. Lindenstrauss. Invariant measures and arithmetic quantum unique ergodicity. *Ann. of Math.*, 163:165–219, 2006.
- [LS95] W. Luo and P. Sarnak. Quantum ergodicity of eigenfunctions on  $PSL_2\mathbb{Z}\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$ . *Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math.*, 81:207–237, 1995.
- [LS03] W. Luo and P. Sarnak. Mass equidistribution for hecke eigenforms. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, LVI:0874–0891, 2003.
- [Sou10] K. Soundararajan. Quantum unique ergodicity for  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H}$ . *Ann. of Math.*, 172:1529–1538, 2010.
- [Wat02] T. C. Watson. Rankin triple products and quantum chaos. *Ph.D. Thesis, Princeton University (eprint available at arxiv.org:math/0810.0425v3 [math.NT])*, to appear in *Ann. of Math.*, 2002.